

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,725	07/24/2003	Kazutaka Hattori	VX032541	8054
21369	7590 09/15/2004		EXAM	INER
VARNDELL & VARNDELL, PLLC			ALI, HYDER	
	LUMBUS ST. IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3747	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/625,725	HATTORI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HYDER ALI	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the applicati	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been	pplication No				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	` '"	rossived				
dee the attached detailed Office action for a	riist of the certified copies not	eceivea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Umemoto (JP 09-126084). Umemoto discloses a fuel injection system for a diesel engine, comprising: a fuel injection nozzle 2 adapted to inject a fuel toward the interior of a combustion chamber of the diesel engine, and an inert material supply passage 25 from which an

Art Unit: 3747

inert material with respect to the fuel is supplied, wherein the fuel from the fuel injection nozzle 2 is injected toward an inert material supplied from the inert material supply passage 26. See figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruoff et al (US 6,273,032) in view of Umemoto (JP 09-126084).

Ruoff et al discloses a fuel injection system for a diesel engine, comprising: a fuel injection nozzle 3 adapted to inject a fuel toward the interior of a combustion chamber of the diesel engine, and an inert material supply passage 8 from which an inert material with respect to the fuel is supplied.

Ruoff et al does not disclose the fuel from the fuel injection nozzle is injected toward the inert material supply passage. However, Umemoto disclose the nozzle bores 15 injecting fuel toward the inert material supply passage 25. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Ruoff et al by employing the nozzle bores injecting fuel toward the inert material supply passage in order to inject fuel toward inert material supply passage.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruoff et al (US 6,273,032) in view of Umemoto (JP 09-126084) as applied to claim 1 above, and

Application/Control Number: 10/625,725

Art Unit: 3747

further in view of Stanglmaier et al (US 6,637,381). Stanglmaier et al discloses a

controller 30 is electrically connected to the solenoid operated valve 28 for controlling

an amount of the inert material delivered into the dual-fuel injector 10. It would have

been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to further modify Ruoff et al by

employing a controller 30 and a solenoid operated valve 28 in order to provide a

controller controlling a quantity of the inert material supplied from the inert material

supply passage.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The

reference by Yoshihara et al discloses water injecting type diesel engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed

to HYDER ALI whose telephone number is (703) 308-3949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-

5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HENRY YUEN

can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or

Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoden Ali

Tony M. Argenbright Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

Page 4